Vote No. 100

June 12, 1997, 4:40 pm Page S-5584 Temp. Record

NOT VOTING (1)

2—Necessarily Absent

3—Illness

4—Other SYMBOLS: AY—Announced Yea AN-Announced Nav PY-Paired Yea PN-Paired Nay

FY 97 SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS (2ND)/Passage

Supplemental Appropriations and Rescissions Act for fiscal year 1997 (2nd) . . . H.R. 1871. Passage. **SUBJECT:**

ACTION: BILL PASSED, 78-21

SYNOPSIS: As introduced and passed, H.R. 1871, the Supplemental Appropriations and Rescissions Act for fiscal year (FY) 1997, will provide emergency disaster funding, funding for continuing military operations in Bosnia and Iraq, and supplemental funding. Budget authority (BA) offsets will be provided for all the new discretionary spending. The spending and offset provisions are identical to the provisions that were in H.R. 1469, which the President vetoed (see vote No. 95). The bill does not include the following three provisions that were in H.R. 1469:

- a requirement to provide automatic continuing appropriations in the event that regular appropriations bills are not enacted on time (in order to prevent President Clinton from again using his veto power to shut down the Government; see vote No. 61 for related
- a ban on using statistical sampling in the census for the purpose of determining the number of House seats to apportion to each State; and
- a requirement to establish a neutral commission to examine the issue of existing rights-of-way claims across Federal lands (see vote No. 59 for related debate).

Those favoring passage contended:

YEAS (78)

Hollings

Inouye

Our Republican colleagues have finally relented. They should not have delayed this needed flood relief by attaching political provisions to the earlier aid bill. They knew those provisions would provoke a veto. However, that matter is behind us now. It is time to rebuild in North Dakota, South Dakota, and Minnesota. We urge our colleagues to join us in voting in favor of passage.

(See other side)

NAYS (21)

Republican Democrats Republicans **Democrats** Republicans **Democrats** (36 or 65%) (42 or 95%) (19 or 35%) (2 or 5%) (0)**(1)** Harkin-2AY Bennett Hutchinson Akaka Johnson Abraham Feingold Hutchison Baucus Kennedy Allard Kohl Brownback Jeffords Kerrey Ashcroft Biden Kempthorne Bingaman Burns Kerry Coats Campbell Lugar Boxer Landrieu Enzi Chafee McCain Breaux Lautenberg Faircloth Cochran McConnell Bryan Leahy Gramm Gregg Collins Murkowski Bumpers Levin Coverdell Roberts Byrd Lieberman Hagel Cleland Mikulski Craig Roth Helms D'Amato Shelby Conrad Moseley-Braun Inhofe DeWine Smith, Gordon Daschle Moynihan Kyl Domenici Snowe Dodd Murray Lott Frist Specter Dorgan Reed Mack Gorton Stevens Reid Nickles Durbin Grams Thompson Feinstein Robb Santorum EXPLANATION OF ABSENCE: Grassley Thurmond Ford Rockefeller Sessions Smith, Bob Hatch Warner Glenn Sarbanes 1—Official Business Graham Torricelli Thomas

Wellstone

Wyden

VOTE NO. 100 JUNE 12, 1997

While favoring passage, some Senators expressed the following reservations:

Most of the spending in this bill does not have to be passed immediately--it is not truly emergency spending. However, some of it is. We would have preferred to be voting on a bill that was limited to truly emergency spending, but we are in a minority in that position. Also, we would have preferred to retain the provisions on the continuing resolution, the census, and the rights-of-way, but President Clinton made it clear that he would rather deny emergency aid entirely rather than agree to those sensible provisions. About the only concession that has been made is that there has been no effort to eliminate the budget authority offsets. In prior years, Democrats went to great lengths to block efforts to pay for "emergency" aid when it was given. President Clinton and his liberal colleagues are demanding a very high price in order for us to be able to give needed disaster aid to the Dakotas and Minnesota. That aid must be given, though, so we reluctantly must vote in favor of passage.

Those opposing passage contended:

Most of the budget authority in this bill was requested by President Clinton. The budget authority was offset by Congress, but it was offset by rescinding budget authority that was not expected to be used (except for the defense offsets). As a result, this bill is not really paid for--it will increase the deficit. The main excuse for all this deficit spending is that we need to send money to Minnesota, North Dakota, and South Dakota to pay for flood damage. However, \$2 billion in emergency funds to pay for that damage is currently available, plus most of the funding in this bill will be spent on totally unrelated matters. Almost every item in this bill could be funded through the normal appropriations process instead of going through this wasteful exercise.

President Clinton vetoed an earlier version of this supplemental bill. He vetoed it because of 3 provisions. The first provision would have made it impossible for him to shut down the Government again. The second provision would have prevented the Administration from using faulty statistics to reapportion House seats among the States. The third provision would have appointed a commission to look into rights-of-way claims across public lands (the Clinton Administration has been trying to restrict movement across public lands in the West as a way of isolating and driving out the people who live there). From our point of view, those provisions were just about the only good parts of that earlier bill. Basically, it looked like a trade-off: it gave President Clinton new deficit spending under the guise of emergency spending and it gave Republicans restrictions on very objectionable actions by President Clinton. After President Clinton vetoed the bill Senate Republicans indicated that they would agree to pass a bill without the restrictions if some of the nonemergency spending were taken out. The House did not agree with that proposal. The result is that we are now being asked to approve a bill that has all of the wasteful spending and none of the restrictions. We voted against the last bill; we will of course vote against this bill as well.